

RPG/R01/R03/R21/R33/R34 Review

Application #:

Principal Investigator(s):

OVERALL IMPACT

Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the following scored review criteria, and additional review criteria. An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have major scientific impact.

Overall Impact *Write a clear, concise paragraph that explains the basis for your score. Identify and weigh the most important strengths and weaknesses of the application.*

REVIEW CRITERIA

Reviewers will evaluate Factors 1, 2 and 3 in the determination of scientific merit, and in providing an overall impact score. In addition, Factors 1 and 2 will each receive a separate factor score.

Factor 1. Importance of the Research (Significance and Innovation)

Major Score-Drivers

Strengths

-

Weaknesses

-

Factor 2. Rigor and Feasibility (Approach)

Major Score-Drivers

Strengths

-

Weaknesses

-

Inclusion Plans Applicable Only for Human Subjects research and not IRB Exemption #4

As part of their evaluation, reviewers should consider the population characteristics of the disease, condition, behavior, and/or the scientific goals of the work proposed:

- Sex/Gender: [Click Here to Select](#)

- Race/Ethnicity: [Click Here to Select](#)
- For NIH-Defined Phase III trials, plans for valid design and analysis (applicable to sex/gender and race/ethnicity): [Click Here to Select](#)
- Based on Age: [Click Here to Select](#)

If not previously addressed in Factor 2 Major Score-Drivers, briefly address specific concerns regarding inclusion or plans for valid design and analysis:

-

Factor 3. Expertise and Resources (Investigator(s) and Environment)

[Click Here to Select](#)

Address specific gaps in expertise or resources needed to carry out the project:

-

ADDITIONAL REVIEW CRITERIA

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will consider the following additional items while determining scientific and technical merit, but will not give criterion scores for these items, and should consider them in providing an overall impact score.

Protections for Human Subjects

[Click Here to Select](#)

Briefly address specific concerns regarding human subject protections:

-

Data and Safety Monitoring Plan (Applicable for Clinical Trials Only):

[Click Here to Select](#)

- Briefly address specific concerns regarding the Data and Safety Monitoring Plan:

Vertebrate Animals

[Click Here to Select](#)

Briefly address specific concerns regarding vertebrate animal protections:

-

Biohazards

[Click Here to Select](#)

Briefly address specific concerns regarding biohazards:

-

Resubmission
Comments (if applicable): <ul style="list-style-type: none">•

Renewal
Comments (if applicable): <ul style="list-style-type: none">•

Revision
Comments (if applicable): <ul style="list-style-type: none">•

ADDITIONAL REVIEW CONSIDERATIONS

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will consider each of the following items, but will not give scores for these items, and should not consider them in providing an overall impact score.

Authentication of Key Biological and/or Chemical Resources
Click Here to Select Briefly address specific concerns regarding the identification or validity of key biological and/or chemical resources: <ul style="list-style-type: none">•

Budget and Period of Support
Click Here to Select Briefly address specific concerns regarding the proposed budget: <ul style="list-style-type: none">•